



## Economic Research Project Team (11)

TEAM NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

### RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER TEAM

#### PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (40 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (40 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (40 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of judges \_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE OF PRESENTATION SCORES** \_\_\_\_\_ (40 maximum)

**SPECIFICATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_ (50 maximum)

#### TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (100 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of judges \_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE OF PRESENTATION SCORES** \_\_\_\_\_ (100 maximum)

**TOTAL** \_\_\_\_\_ (190 maximum)

**RANK**



## Economic Research Project Team (11)

Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_

Team Number \_\_\_\_\_

### Presentation Judges' Scoring Rubric/Rating Criteria

Item to Evaluate	Below Average 1-5 points	Average 6-10 points	Good 11-15 points	Excellent 16-20 points	Points Awarded
<b>ORAL PRESENTATION</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ideas not focused</li> <li>• Undeveloped introduction</li> <li>• Transitions needed</li> <li>• Limited conclusion</li> <li>• Ineffective language choice</li> <li>• Ideas difficult to follow</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lacks organization</li> <li>• Introduction irrelevant</li> <li>• Choppy transitions</li> <li>• Abrupt conclusion</li> <li>• Dull language choice</li> <li>• Random ideas expressed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main idea evident</li> <li>• Introduction not well developed</li> <li>• Awkward transitions</li> <li>• Conclusion needs development</li> <li>• Language choice limited</li> <li>• Clear ideas expressed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ideas clearly organized</li> <li>• Introduction gets attention</li> <li>• Smooth transitions</li> <li>• Satisfying conclusion</li> <li>• Language vivid and precise</li> <li>• Convincing</li> </ul>	
<b>JUDGES QUESTIONS</b>	Ideas difficult to follow	Random ideas expressed	Clear ideas	Convincing	
<b>TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (40 points maximum)</b>					

### Specification Points Rubric/Rating Criteria

<b>Specification Points:</b> All points or none are awarded by the proctor per contestant, not per judge.		Points Awarded
Word-processed research paper according to <i>Style and Reference Manual</i>	10	
Word-processed works cited page	10	
Presentation lasted no more than five (5) minutes	10	
Two (2) copies of documents submitted at time of presentation	10	
All registered members of team participated in presentation	10	
<b>TOTAL SPECIFICATION POINTS (50 MAXIMUM POINTS)</b>		

**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS=190**



## Economic Research Project Team (11)

### JUDGES COMMENTS

Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_

Team Number \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS: (to be viewed by contestant)**

(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)

**REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION: (if applicable)**